

---

# **SUMMARY OF CABINET/CABINET MEMBER DECISIONS**

**WEEK COMMENCING 30 July 2018**

**CALL IN FOR THESE DECISIONS ENDS  
9.00 A.M. ON FRIDAY 10 August 2018**

**3 August 2018**

## Public Business

- Denotes items that have been referred to Audit and Procurement Committee.
- # Denotes items that are to be referred to Council. Accordingly Call-in does not apply.
- ◆ Denotes a matter where the associated report has already been considered by the Scrutiny Co-ordination Committee or a Scrutiny Board. Where this body has endorsed the recommendations or made recommendations that have been accepted by the Cabinet/Cabinet Member Call-in does not apply.
- \* Denotes other items that have been referred to, or considered by, the Scrutiny Co- ordination Committee or a specific Scrutiny Board.
- Split recommendations. Please see note at foot of item for details of the recommendations that are not subject to call-in.

Note: The Limitations on Call-in are set out at the end of this sheet.

### **Cabinet Member for City Services – Monday 30 July 2018**

#### **Report 4      Objections to Burnsall Road, Sir Henry Parkes Road, Canley Road Area Experimental Residents' Parking Scheme**

##### **Recommendations:**

Cabinet Member for City Services is recommended to:

- 1) Consider the objections and support to the Experimental Traffic Regulation Order (ETRO)
- 2) Subject to recommendation 1, approve that a residents' parking scheme remains in operation in this area.
- 3) Considering the issues raised in paragraph 2.11, approve that the existing scheme is made permanent.

##### **The above Recommendations were approved along with the following additional recommendation**

- 4) Officers be requested to write to all residents in the CA1 and CA2 areas informing them about the option to contact Parking Services if they are intending to hold an event/ family gathering to enable their visitors to be able to park in the vicinity.

**Report 5      Petition – Whitley Traffic Matters – Address worsening road safety problems especially around the 3 schools**

**Recommendations:**

Cabinet Member for City Services is recommended to:

- 1) Note the petitioners concerns
- 2) Note that a number of measures have already been introduced since receipt of the petition (as detailed in paragraph 1.6 of the report)
- 3) Endorse that the actions confirmed by determination letter to the petition spokesperson are undertaken.

**The above Recommendations were deleted and the following recommendation approved**

- 1) Consideration of the above report be deferred to the next Cabinet Member for City Services meeting on 24<sup>th</sup> September to allow the petition organiser to attend.

**Report 6      Petition – Seymour Close, request to remove kerb and grass and create parking area**

**Recommendations:**

Cabinet Member for City Services is recommended to:

- 1) Note the petitioners concerns
- 2) Endorse that no action will be undertaken, as confirmed by determination letter to the petition spokesperson.

**The above Recommendation 1) was approved along with the following recommendations, Recommendation 2) above having been deleted:**

- 2) Officers to check that the double yellow lines installed in Seymour Close have been put in as set out in the Traffic Regulation Order and if any discrepancies are found then remedial works be undertaken to ensure compliance with the Traffic Regulation Order.
- 3) Officers to investigate the land ownership issue and to report back to the Cheylesmore Ward Councillors, who will work with the petitioners regarding their concerns.

**Report 7      Petition – Request for yellow lines and disabled bays on Mercer Avenue**

**Recommendations:**

Cabinet Member for City Services is recommended to:

- 1) Note the petitioners concerns
- 2) Endorse that the actions confirmed by determination letter to the petition spokesperson are undertaken.

**The above Recommendation 1) was approved along with the following recommendation, recommendation 2) above having been deleted:**

- 2) Officers be requested to arrange a site visit with Councillor Bains, the petition organiser and local residents to investigate the petitioners' concerns and to consider and any potential solutions.

**Report 8      Petition – Request for Double Yellow Lines on Perimeter of Island at Junction Benedictine Road and The Monk's Croft**

**Recommendations:**

Cabinet Member for City Services is recommended to:

- 1) Note the petitioners concerns
- 2) Endorse that no action will be undertaken, as confirmed by determination letter to the petition spokesperson.

**The above Recommendation 1) was approved along with the following recommendation, recommendation 2) above having been deleted**

- 2) Officers be requested to commence the process for the installation of double yellow lines around the perimeter of the island at the junction of Benedictine Road and The Monk's Croft

**Report 9      Objections to Proposed Waiting Restrictions (Variation 6)**

**Recommendations:**

Cabinet Member for City Services is recommended to:

- 1) Consider the objections to the proposed waiting restrictions;
- 2) Subject to recommendation 1, approve the implementation of the restrictions as advertised at; Billing Road/Sherlock Road, Charterhouse Access Road, Queen Mary's Road/May Street, St

Christians Road, Stoney Stanton Road, Westwood Heath Road/Farthing Walk, and Wheeler Road/Quinn Close.

- 3) Subject to recommendation 1, approve that the residents' parking scheme is not implemented, at Hartlepool Road, Redcar Road, Stockton Road and further consultation is undertaken and a revised proposal is advertised if it is determined that 60% of households are still in favour of introducing a residents parking scheme.
- 4) Subject to recommendation 1, approve that the restrictions are implemented as proposed on Dewsbury Avenue/Barnack Ave, Nod Rise, including Nod Rise by Wiltshire Court, the situation is monitored and if further restrictions are required they are included in a further waiting restriction review.
- 5) Subject to recommendation 1, approve the implementation of a reduced scheme on Tynemouth Close/ Lentons Lane, reducing the proposed extent of double yellow lines on the eastern side of Tynemouth Close by 10 metres.
- 6) Subject to recommendations 1 to 5, approve that the proposed Traffic Regulation Order is made operational.

**The above Recommendations were approved, along with the following recommendations, Recommendation 3) above having been deleted:**

- 7) Subject to recommendation 1, approve the installation of a reduced scheme on Craven Street, reducing the proposed extent of double yellow lines at the junctions of Craven Street with Duke Street, Lord Street, Mount Street, to the radius of the junction, whilst being sympathetic to the Conservation Area status of the locality.
- 8) Subject to recommendation 1, remove the proposed waiting restrictions relating to Hartlepool Road, Redcar Road and Stockton Road from the Traffic Regulation Order, to allow for further investigation, including consultation with Ward Councillors, with a report being submitted to the next Cabinet Member for City Services meeting scheduled for 24<sup>th</sup> September, 2018. Any new approved proposals to be advertised accordingly.
- 9) Subject to recommendation 1, approve that the restrictions are implemented as proposed for Winsford Avenue/ Denham Avenue but the restrictions at Winsford Avenue/ The Jordans are not implemented and the situation is monitored.
- 10) Subject to recommendation 1, approve the restrictions as advertised at Oldham Avenue, the situation be monitored with residents working with Ward Councillors and officers be requested to organise

a bespoke Streetnews informing local residents of the situation.

**Report 10 Petitions Determined by Letter and Petitions Deferred Pending Further Investigations**

**Recommendations:**

- 1) Cabinet Member for City Services is recommended to endorse the actions being taken by officers as set out in Section 2 and Appendix A of the report in response to the petitions received.

**The above Recommendation was approved**

## Limitations on Call-in

A call-in will normally be regarded as appropriate **UNLESS**:-

1. It falls within paragraph 18 of the Scrutiny rules (Part 3E of the Constitution) – ie. it relates to:-
  - (i) a matter which is to be determined by the Council.
  - (ii) a decision of the Cabinet/Cabinet Member taken as a matter of urgency and the Chair of the Scrutiny Co-ordination Committee (or his/her nominee) had been invited to attend the meeting where the urgent decision had been taken or the Scrutiny Co-ordination Committee has previously agreed the need for urgency.
  - (iii) a decision made by an employee exercising delegated authority.
  - (iv) decisions of the Licensing and Regulatory Committee.
  - (v) decisions of the Planning Committee.
  - (vi) decisions of the Appeals and Appointments Panels.
  - (vii) decisions of the Audit and Procurement Committee.
  - (viii) a matter where the associated report has already been considered by the Scrutiny Co-ordination Committee or a Scrutiny Board who have endorsed the recommendations or made recommendations that have been accepted by the Cabinet/Cabinet Member.
2. The call-in form is not completed correctly.
3. The call-in form is received after the specified time.
4. The reason for the call-in is unclear or does not relate directly to the decision specified on the call-in form.
5. The reason for the call-in is a question, the answer to which can be found in the report relating to the decision which is being called in.